🗱 BIZCOMMUNITY

What's in a name?

By <u>Charl Thom</u>

14 Nov 2011

About five years ago, we created a handbook that we entrust to new members of our team on their first day at FoxP2. It contains our manifesto and philosophy to catching the great ideas that float out there in the ether - ideas that speak to meaningful strategic insights, that ultimately lead to creating great work and, more importantly, that deliver great business results for our clients and their brands.

It's not a magic formula; such a thing does not exist, but it is rather an approach to the tough task of creating great work.

Ideas are everyone's responsibility

We point out that these ideas are fragile creatures, and that they need to be handled with care and respect. Once a great one has been caught, it's everyone's responsibility at FoxP2 to make sure it comes to life in its purest form.

At the recent memorial and tribute to Steve Jobs, Jonathan Ive, chief designer of Apple, had the following to say about ideas:

"Steve treated the process of creativity with a rare and wonderful reverence. You see I think he, better than anyone, understood that while ideas ultimately can be so powerful, they begin as fragile, barely formed thoughts. So easily missed. So easily compromised. So easily just squished."

For an agency to be a successful idea-capturer and -incubator, it needs to be a company made up of ideas-men and women across every part of the organisation. It needs them in account management, in the creative department, in strategic planning and yes, even in finance. But, in particular and in its purest form, it needs them in an actual owner of the agency as a creative director or creative partner.

Critical, non-negotiable elixir

This is a critical and non-negotiable elixir in the ownership makeup when creating an agency that will consistently produce paradigm-shifting creative solutions that deliver a disproportionate return on investment.

Such a partnership, with a significant and meaningful shareholding by the creative partner, is the only way to guarantee genuine influence by the ultimate creative inspiration and creative orchestrator of the agency. Without this kind of influence, decision-making will be slowly but surely compromised, which will lead to the gradual erosion of creative integrity across the organisation.

As the saying goes, a fish rots from the head down, and the great ideas will eventually be missed, compromised or squished, as Ives points out. The agency becomes like an Italian shoemaker owned by a British accountant, or a Swiss watchmaker owned by an American sales rep. The agency's heart, its raison d'être, will be replaced and driven by other motivations. And the work will suffer.

Jobs briefed Chiat/Day in the early 1980s to produce a game-changing commercial for the release of his new Macintosh product. In answer to this brief, Lee Clow and his creative partner presented the idea for the now-famous 1984 commercial. Jobs approved the idea and one of the most iconic ads in history was produced by none other than Ridley Scott.

Ad was bombed

However, when the ad was presented to the Apple board, it was bombed. In fact, Mike Markkula, the then chief of Apple Computer Inc, suggested that Apple do not flight the ad and asked the board to vote in favour of firing Chiat/Day.

Markkula, you see, was not an ideas man; he was an accountant, an out-and-out bean counter. He had missed the powerful potential of the idea and the work, even after it had been produced.

Chiat/Day, however, kept its faith in the work, despite the reaction of the Apple board. It believed the ad would create unparalleled awareness of MacIntosh and the Apple brand.

In fact, when the agency was ordered to sell back two timeslots that had been booked to air the ad on CBS, it defied Apple and only sold back one spot. This act of defiance and unwavering belief was the catalyst to a remarkable series of events that eventually led to the ad being aired during the Superbowl, anyway. To this day, 1984 is considered one of the greatest commercials in the history of the SuperBowl. It was updated in 2004, 20 years later, to feature an iPod.

Courageous and risky decision

Had the ownership of Chiat/Day's business not included genuine creative partners, this courageous and risky decision to salvage one of the world's most remarkable commercials would never have been supported and seen through.

We spend a lot of time filling out documentation for brands that are on the hunt for a new agency partner. Very rarely does the request include information on the exact shareholding of the agency.

As with any other business, an agency needs partners with different skill sets and expertise to ensure its all-round commercial success, but it's worth being sure that the creative partner owns that meaningful share in your chosen agency. One that gives them real influence in the creation and management of the agency, the clients that the agency chooses to work with, the kind of work that is done for those clients, and the business imperatives that form the foundation of the operation.

And the name of a dead or retired adman on the door doesn't count; it merely serves as a reminder of how great agencies were born.

Republished with permission from <u>Charl Thom's posterous</u> originally posted on 31 October 2011.

ABOUT CHARL THOM

Charl Thom has been group MD/partner of the multiple award-winning FoxP2 (www.foxp2.com) since 2007. After completing a BComm (marketing) degree and a few seasons sailing around the Caribbean, he set out on a career in advertising which saw him working in media, strategy and account management for leading global agencies in South Africa and the UK. Contact Charl on tel +27 (0)21 424 4802, email charl@foxp2.com, tw eet @charlthom and read charlthom posterous.com

The best advice I've ever received - 4 May 2012 = [2012 trends] Occupy 2012 - 19 Jan 2012

Internships: making the right choice - 30 Nov 2011
What's in a name? - 14 Nov 2011

View my profile and articles...

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com